

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	-				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/658,731	09/11/2000	Petri Jolma	4925-57	4528	
75	90 03/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Michael C Stuart Esq		RAMPURIA, SHARAD K			
Cohen Pontani Lieberman & Pavane		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 1210 551 Fifth Aven	10		2683	/K	
New York, NY			2003	70	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/200	DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
. Advisory Action	09/658,731	JOLMA ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sharad Rampuria	2683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terror on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriat	See MPEP
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	·		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			





Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arnold still meets the limitation of independent claims 1 & 8 as follows: predetermining, for each base station in the communication system, a classification for each channel according to the probability of interference at the channel with other base stations of the plurality of bases stations in the communication systems; and allocating on request a channel according to the predetermined classification and a desired quality class of transmission. (figs. 5-8; Col.16; 3-41).

> WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600